

### 3.6. LINGUISTIC PRACTICES AS ANTROPOTECHNIQUE IN THE CONTEXT OF INFORMATIVE MODERNITY

The last decades have been marked by intensive processes of transformation of all spheres of society's life. According to the researchers we are talking about the formation of an information society where the media fill the entire socio-cultural space. More often the scientists have turned to new paradigms among which a special place is occupied by the «communicative revolution», «communicative reality». It is about transformation of existing forms of communication and the transformation of reality which begins to act as a flow of information. This situation is accompanied by some changes in cultural values existing patterns of behavior as well as speech concepts. From now language has not only oral or written forms but now a transition happens to language in the context of mediareality as a media turn phenomenon. As emphasizes V. Savchuk (1)<sup>1</sup> in modern philosophy the researcher reveals various turns the number of which constantly grows: ontological, linguistic, iconic, medial, anthropological and others.

The text is the subject of the study of many sciences – linguistics, rhetoric, semiotics, logic, cultural studies, psychology and philosophy. The problem of the text in the conditions of the information society is considered in the writings of M. Castells, M. Webster, W. Eco, C. Lesch, E. Toffler, M. Ulbec, N. Nosov, C. Horuzhy, A. Carmin, D. Ivanov, B. Mironov, O. Astafyeva, A. Voyskunsky.

Modern scientific realities associated with the emergence of virtual reality, network society, the Internet and computer became the subject of philosophical and cultural analysis proving the medial turn. Back in the day according to R. Rorty a linguistic turn signaled its appearance in a collection under the same name in 1967. That analysis of conceptual matrices for decades has determined the refusal to understand reality from the standpoint of immobility («Everything is language») emphasizing that it is not only a way of describing the world but the world itself is revealed to a man as language (Lyotar).

Linguistic themes in the reflections of analytical philosophy for a long time remained the main thing. The latter focuses on language that not only speaks but also thinks itself.

In the context of the intensive development of communication technologies hypertext systems are widely used in the information space of modern society which has a significant influence on modern culture transformation. According to the researchers this influence is not unambiguous both positive and pessimistic views are expressed on the further development of society. There is a dilemma: an increase in the level of interaction between the intelligence of the man-machine complex (Steopin) or the absence of any significance of these systems for the «Gutenberg galaxy» (MacLuen).

In recent decades in the scientific thought mediation is understood as the process of information transfer at all stages of human development (from rock painting to the most advanced information and communication technologies, global information networks) (S. Lesh). However as V. Savchuk emphasizes in the modern information space media is no longer a technical intermediary but an environment itself a reality of experience and consciousness. It is a medial turn.

The formation of a modern information society is accompanied by a new attitude of man to the world, the development of new forms of rationality, improvement and the emergence of new means and forms of communication. For almost all generations of philosophers turned to their own language vision while expressing their questions in various perspectives. A careful look at the philosophical understanding and interpretation of language led to the appearance in a philosophical thought of a separate direction or section – the philosophy of language. Language is a historically evolving phenomenon. In the conditions of technologicalization of the society, technologicalization of language took place. M. Foucault defined this process as the emergence of «language machines». «Machines of speech» on his opinion are functional possibilities means of «conservation and exchange of texts» (2)<sup>2</sup>. This is an instrumental language that exists along with writing, oral, natural and artificial.

<sup>1</sup> Savchuk, V. V. (2013): Fenomen povorota v kul'ture XXIveka. Mezhdunarodnyy zhurnal issledovaniy kul'tury.

<sup>2</sup>Mishel', F. (1994): Slova i veshchiPerevodchiki

Language according to research scientists performs in culture three functions: reproduction of culture (M. Gadamer), communicative action (Yu. Habermas), socialization (M. Mead). Modernity is characterized by new information technologies, ambiguity and pluralism of information, distribution of information for the benefit of society. In this situation one can not but agree with the thoughts of the famous researcher S. Lesch (3)<sup>1</sup>. The latter highlights the following general features of the information society: firstly the uncontrolled dissemination of information flows. Secondly the assertion of the relevance of only the present which concentrates around communication as a result of which society becomes more mobile and loses a stable relationship. Thirdly there is the disorientation of a person which is associated with the loss of those ideological concepts that were provided by traditional notions. Fourthly the post-human post is formed. These features are directly embodied in hypertext in its modern configuration.

It should be emphasized that in the scientific literature there is a thought that hypertext appears in culture much earlier than the emergence of information society, information reality. And in this context hypertext includes books, reference books, encyclopedias, and even the Bible. This kind of hypertext U. Eco expresses as text hypertext (4)<sup>2</sup>.

It is in this context that there is an urgent appeal to Skovoroda's speech practices. Note that the linguistic analysis of the heritage of G. Skovoroda is provided both in Ukrainian linguistics and in foreign publications. But it concerns morphology, vocabulary, phonetics, the style of word-formation, the use and borrowing of words from other languages etc. Linguists have comprehensively analyzed and are analyzing the G. Skovoroda's language but with regard to the philosophical context of language the researches are almost absent.

Y. Sherekh (Y. Shevelov) the world-famous linguist noted that G. Skovoroda fulfilled a linguistic revolution. His literary heritage has absorbed elements of such languages as Church Slavonic, Ukrainian, Normative Russian, Latin, Greek, and other languages of the East and West (5)<sup>3</sup>. The talk is about the multilingualism of G. Skovoroda and his speech polylinguism. This situation provides the opportunity for its multilingualism in the context of innovations regarding to the current language situation. This is due to the fact that modernity requires new theoretical and methodological means of language analysis in philosophical discourse. This analysis is not possible in the context of the domination of some ideology.

A new aspect of G. Skovoroda's analysis of language is seen in its interpretation as an activity and as an action. Such studies are based on new philosophical concepts: speech actions, speech practices, speech games, speech consciousness, speech reality, speech strategies, communicative action, etc.

Understanding the language and the word as «action» opened up new aspects of language analysis. The key concept of the theory of D. Austin appears as a matrix of application in the philosophy of language of the concept of «speech practices». Language is interpreted as what people do with words in specific socio-cultural contexts. And in this sense it is very important to think of L. Wittgenstein about the diversity of types of speech activity and the variety of functions that can perform the same word. D. Austin and L. Wittgenstein introduce new concepts in the philosophy of language which are dominant: «speech games», «speech actions».

M. Heidegger continuing their thoughts argues that language itself is not a product but an activity. He primarily focuses on the special features of language which relate to the inner activity of spirit. Such in this is seen the «treasure» of Skovoroda's speech practices: the inner activity of the spirit.

According to the analysts of language the interrelation of language and action its use for the analysis of speech processes is a significant progress. And it is impossible to disagree with this. Language acts as a present in conversations, listening, correspondence, translations, writing of various works, messages and appeals. Language is the action coordinator.

In language reviews the use and appeal to the concept of speech practice which has a varied manifestation is becoming increasingly commonplace. To them researchers in the philosophy of language include speech games, hybrid speech practices, informational, written and spoken. This

---

<sup>1</sup> Lash, S. (2002): Critique of information.

<sup>2</sup> Eko, U. Ot Interneta k Guttenbergu: tekst i gipertekst.

<sup>3</sup> Sherekh, YU. (2012): Porohy i zaporizhzhya, s.404.

list can be extended which indicates the transformation of both language and speech practices. The linguistic space of the modern era is changing. Speech practices as an action have not yet been investigated sufficiently broadly although of course they also play an important role in the communication process both at the individual and in society life. Linguistic practices realize our goals, thoughts, desires and directions (6)<sup>1</sup>.

Let's also pay attention to the understanding of speech practice as anthropological technique. It is about the ability to communicate, share experiences, feelings, store and transmit information, promote socio-historical development, means of world perception. Linguistic practice is an integral part of human life / being. Coincidentally M. Heidegger defined his position as follows: «Language is the home of my being». The change of life / being is embodied in speech practices. This trend in philosophical studies is only gaining momentum because the modern era has become a kind of challenge to the past. Linguistic practices as anthropological techniques prove that the type of person is determined by civilization and «technologies» of socialization (7)<sup>2</sup>.

Linguistic practices reproduce not only linguistic reality. Man from his birth is in language and social space. Since his birth a person uses speech practices as a means of communication and action. These practices encourage different kinds of activities provide the opportunity to construct or reconstruct reality in its varieties. Analysts of the philosophy of language offer a somewhat unconventional understanding of both language and speech practice: these phenomena have a non-linguistic component which include mental and physical processes and events as well as technological ones. We are talking about the transformation of the classical linguistic paradigm which was aimed at analyzing how we talk about the world and how we are thinking about the very reasoning.

M. Foucault put forward the thesis that different states of human life are connected and reproduced in language practices. The philosopher analyzing friendship as a way of life understands it as a way to escape the power of the Symbolic. The direct leader of such escape from power in his opinion is language as a special language practiced in friendship. Here we have a phenomenon and language game. This very concept of language game – parrhesia – gives the opportunity to understand in general the «phenomenon of Foucault» (B. Markov) and his philosophical thought.

In order to analyze Skovoroda's speech practices the letters of the philosopher to his pupil and friend M. Kovalinsky which were issued separately in 2012. The letters were published by National Literary and Memorial Museum. The editor was Professor L. Ushkalov. The letters were written by G. Skovoroda from 1762 to 1794 in Latin. The most intense correspondence occurred in 1762–1764 when M. Kovalinsky was sent 72 letters. L. Ushkalov describes correspondence as a «spiritual novel» that lasted several decades (8)<sup>3</sup>.

Published letters is a substantial contribution to the «Skovorodiniada». As a metaphor it means competent and artistic and literary works, video works, sculptures, museums dedicated to the famous philosopher and poet, teacher and theologian, «the Ukrainian national genius» according to Tabakowska. «Skovorodiniada» based in Kharkov with the advent of the cultural life of Slobozhanshchina («Ukrainian Bulletin» in 1816). In the April issue of the journal appeared the recognition of G. Skovoroda in the cultural life by V. Maslovich. The last called the Ukrainian thinker and Kharkiv philosopher as Stoic Diogenes (9)<sup>4</sup>.

Why V. Maslovich characterized Grigory Skovoroda as a «stoic philosopher»? Because of his life credo coincided with the life principles of the Stoics. The Stoics believed that the meaning of life was a harmonious unity of the world of nature and man. In their opinion such unity promotes harmony and peace of the spirit. These principles G. Skovoroda followed all his life.

Dmitry Chizhevsky a historian of Ukrainian philosophy noted that from that time the Kharkiv and the Ukrainian «Skovorodiniada» started. Continuing the idea of the famous historian of philosophy we note that in our time «Skovorodiniada» acquired international and intercultural values.

---

<sup>1</sup> Lihvopravova kartyna svitu: suchasni problemy lnhvistyky ta inshomovnoyi dydaktyky : monohrafiya.

<sup>2</sup> Markov, B. V (2008): *Filosofskaya antropologiya: ucheb. posobiye dlya vuzov*, s. 147.

<sup>3</sup> Ushkalov, L. (2012): *Istoriya odniyeyi druzhby. Skovoroda H. Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho*, s. 3.

<sup>4</sup> *Ukrainskiy vestnik na 1816 god. Chast' vtoraya. Mesyats aprel'*. Ukrainian Bulletin for 1816. Part two.

One of the areas of «Skovorodiniada» is an appeal to linguistic features of creativity of the philosopher.

The analysis of Skovoroda's speech practices in the philosophical context is almost absent and therefore requires careful study. The letters show the richness of speech practices since G. Skovoroda and M. Kovalinsky freely owned several languages. Both of them knew Latin and Greek, language of the Holy Scripture as evidenced by the correspondence but also emphasize «acted» in these languages. These languages became communicative actions, means of communication, reproductions of that era, a certain environment and historical conditions. The letters reveal the mental activity of G. Skovoroda and M. Kovalinsky (10)<sup>1</sup>. The conversation of the soul with the soul is a feature of Skovoroda's speech practice proceeding from its position on the second «inner nature» of man. Knowing a number of foreign languages the philosopher used a variety of speech practices, speech actions but in the correspondence he preferred the use of Latin speech practice (10)<sup>2</sup>.

The letters of the philosopher represent us a special inner world of his life first of all spiritual inner, existential. Using a variety of speech practices he defined his existential states: friendship, anguish, love, amount, joy, respect, sorrow, etc. Note that G. Skovoroda's speeches give his inner nature.

Conversation and correspondence are the main language of the speech. This was repeatedly highlighted in the letters. «Conversation with a Missing Friend» is a feature of speech practice. It was compared by G. Skovoroda «with listening of more pleasant musical instrument». The philosopher aspires to Kovalinsky's «nice conversation».

He sent poems to M. Kovalinsky by Latin, made translations, interpreted texts. That's why the letters had frequent references to the works of famous scientists, philosophers, writers and Rome artists. They were presented as expressions, poems, epigrams, provision of works and Latin authors. G. Skovoroda in letters to M. Kovalinsky used the works of Horace, Ovid, Cicero, Plutarch, Virgil, etc.. Especially frequently in his letters he referred to the works of Plutarch as a sample of classical Latin literary language from the point of view of today's philosophy of language they are the written speech practices.

In the comments to the letters that made by L. Ushkalov it is highlighted the wide use of the speech practices of Latin language. Note that it is not just about knowledge of language and how language is used and as language becomes an action and an action becomes it in conversations, in correspondence, in essays, in translations. It is in this sense refers to the practice of speech or verbal action on the practical meaning of language.

In early correspondence (July 1762) G. Skovoroda sent to M. Kovalinsky a notation in Greek which provided the following tips:

- 1) «The best guide in old age is wisdom»;
- 2) «Sacred love of virtue»;
- 3) «Having friends, consider that you own a treasure»;
- 4) «Lovely is difficult»;
- 5) «The short path to evil» (10)<sup>3</sup>.

It is believed that he filed a brief moral code to be observed and performed by every person. These sentiments he translated from Greek into Latin and used the intersection and speech practices. In letter No. 29 he recalled the statements of Aristotle from the «Big ethics» (book 2). In the letter No. 43 provided with translation of the Greek poem in Latin it was translated from the «State» of Plato. There is a link with works of the sophists Diogenes, Zeno, Menander, Socrates. The thinker believed that his pupil should be aware of these Greek classics.

The linguistic practices presented in the letters have a philosophical and anthropological orientation they are combined in one semantic whole: a respectful attitude towards his student, to their new friendship, to friendly feeling of love for each other: «Because friendship is so divine

---

<sup>1</sup> Skovoroda, H. S. (2012): *Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho*, s.6

<sup>2</sup> Skovoroda, H. S. (2012): *Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho*, s.104

<sup>3</sup> Skovoroda, H. S. (2012): *Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho*, s. 21.

such a pleasant thing that it seems as if it is the sun of life», «friendship accompanying life not only adds pleasure and charm to its bright sides but also eases suffering» (10)<sup>1</sup>.

L. Ushkalov well-known in Ukraine and abroad researcher of the works of G. Skovoroda, wrote: « The philosopher in his advisory and encouraging letters to M. Kovalinsky was able to fill not only with numerous colorful images, quotations from Greek and Roman classics, wise teachings, philosophical reflections, sparkles of irony, refined verses but true, alive, trembling and friendly feelings» (8)<sup>2</sup>.

Using of various linguistic practices in the legacy of G. Skovoroda proposed in the article is debatable and invites for analysis in the context of contemporary achievements of the philosophy of language. The linguistic practice in the letters to M. Kovalinsky has a very personal relationship between two friends, a relationship that should not be known to others. This is the world of two personalities meeting for whom their friendship became their life. Researchers of language practices point out that they determine the «sincerity» of language. In each letter there is «sincerity». The correspondence of G. Skovoroda and M. Kovalinsky is a unique example of the philosophical vision of the interaction «I/the Other» where the language practice reveals the ambiguity of states and relations, feelings. The letters show tips for mastering your inner person. They reveal the «inner world» of G. Skovoroda. That's why he used Latin and Greek language practices to convey his attitude, his feelings to M. Kovalinsky. Moreover the speech practices of G. Skovoroda prove his intentional states: faith, hope, desire, love, sorrow, sympathy, misunderstanding, friendship, respect, confusion, revealing the wealth of his «second nature», overcoming the tragedy of spirit.

The phenomenon of linguistic turn («linguistic turn») at the turn of the twentieth centuries is gaining ground. The questions arise not only about the subsequent existence of a person in the situation of transition from the present to the postmodern in the conditions of media reality but also the perception of the personality of the transition from the text to the hypertext. The latter most effectively manifests itself in the realm of creative activity. What is evidenced by the legacy of the famous Ukrainian philosopher G. Skovoroda.

#### References:

1. Savchuk, V. V. (2013): Fenomen povorota v kul'ture XXIveka. Mezhdunarodnyy zhurnal issledovaniy kul'tury. 2013. No 1 (10). S. 93–108.
2. Mishel', F. (1994): Slova i veshchiPerevodchiki; per. V. P. Vizgin, N. S. Avtonomova. Sankt-Peterburg, A-cad, 408 s.
3. LASH, S. (2002): Critique of information. London: Thousand Oaks (Ca); Sage Publications. XII, 234 p.
4. Eko, U. Ot Interneta k Guttenbergu: tekst i gipertekst. [online]. [Cited 15.07.2019]. Available online: <http://www.pseudology.org/webmaster/UmberEco.htm>.
5. Sherekh, Yu. (2012): Porohy i zaporizhzhya. KHarkiv, 410 s.
6. Linhvopravova kartyna svitu: suchasni problemy linhvistyky ta inshomovnoyi dydaktyky : monohrafiya. [online]. [Cited 15.07.2019]. Available online: <https://docplayer.net/67009639-Lingvopravova-kartina-svitu-suchasni-problemi-lingvistiki-ta-inshomovnoyi-didaktiki.html>.
7. Markov, B. V. (2008): Filosofskaya antropologiya: ucheb. posobiye dlya vuzov. Piter, 349 s.
8. Ushkalov, L. (2012): Istoriya odniyeyi druzhby. Skovoroda H. Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho. KHarkiv, 182 s.
9. Ukrainskiy vestnik na 1816 god. Chast' vtoraya. Mesyats aprel'. Ukrainian Bulletin for 1816. Part two. [online]. [Cited 15.07.2019]. Available online: <http://dspace.univer.kharkov.ua/handle/123456789/10714>
10. Skovoroda, H. S. (2012): Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho. KHarkiv, 184 s.

<sup>1</sup> Skovoroda, H. S. (2012): Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho, s.40.

<sup>2</sup> Ushkalov, L. (2012): Istoriya odniyeyi druzhby. Skovoroda H. Lysty do Mykhayla Kovalyns'koho, s. 7.



# **Innovative tools for socio-economic systems' development**

edited by Oleksandra Mandych  
Aleksander Ostenda

**Series of monographs Faculty  
of Architecture, Civil Engineering  
and Applied Arts**

Katowice School of Technology

Monograph 25

Wydawnictwo Wyższej Szkoły Technicznej w Katowicach, 2019



## **Innovative tools for socio-economic systems' development**

edited by Oleksandra Mandych  
Aleksander Ostenda

**Series of monographs Faculty  
of Architecture, Civil Engineering  
and Applied Arts**

Katowice School of Technology

Monograph 25

### **Scientific editors**

D.Sc., Oleksandra Mandych  
PhD, prof. WST Aleksander Ostenda

### **Editorial board**

*Vladimír Gonda* – prof. Ing., PhD, the University of Economics in Bratislava (Slovakia)

*Oleksandra Mandych* – D.Sc., Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture (Ukraine)

*Tetiana Kvyatko* – PhD, Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture (Ukraine)

*Paweł Mikos* – mgr, Katowice School of Technology

*Aleksandr Ostenda* – PhD, prof. WST, Katowice School of Technology

*Sylwia Pawlikowska-Musiewicz* – mgr inż. arch., Katowice School of Technology

*Iryna Sevidova* – D.Sc., Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture (Ukraine)

*Tetiana Vlasenko* – PhD, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture (Ukraine)

*Magdalena Wierzbik-Strońska* – mgr, Katowice School of Technology

*Svitlana Zaika* – PhD, Associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture (Ukraine)

### **Reviewers**

dr Tadeusz Pokusa prof. WSZiA  
doc. Ing. Stanislav Filip, PhD (Slovakia)

Series of monographs Faculty of Architecture, Civil Engineering and  
Applied Arts Katowice School of Technology  
Monograph · 25

The authors bear full responsible for the text, quotations and illustrations

Copyright by Wyższa Szkoła Techniczna w Katowicach, 2019

**ISBN: 978 – 83 – 955125 – 0 – 6**

### **Editorial compilation**

**Wydawnictwo Wyższej Szkoły Technicznej Katowice**

ul. Rolna 43 40-555 Katowice

tel. 32 202 50 34, fax: 32 252 28 75

[www.wst.pl](http://www.wst.pl) / [www.wydawnictwo.wst.pl](http://www.wydawnictwo.wst.pl)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS:

<b>Preface</b>	5
<b>Part 1. Innovative tools that develop socio-economic systems</b>	7
1.1. Development of agribusiness of Ukraine: an innovative aspect	7
1.2. Importance and role ahroinnovations in the system formation of the enterprise competitiveness	15
1.3. Planning of the organizational structure of the enterprise management in the conditions of implementation innovative technologies of production and technological changes	25
1.4. Risks in the process of management of commodity specialization of agricultural enterprises	35
1.5. Strategic management principles of the use efficiency of land resources of farm households of Ukraine	44
1.6. Formation of strategies of organizational changes in management of agricultural enterprises as a necessary condition for their innovation development	53
1.7. Factors of efficiency of innovation-investment activity of agricultural enterprises	63
1.8. State support of innovative activity in agriculture of Ukraine	71
1.9. Assessment of competitiveness of agricultural product: methodological aspects	78
1.10. Features of innovation-investment activity in the field of planting	85
1.11. Organizational change management processes based on the project approach methodology	95
1.12. Generalization of approaches and models for enterprise change management	103
<b>Part 2. Strategic management tools as a basis for ensuring competitive development of enterprises</b>	110
2.1. Marketing logistic business model of vegetable market due to zonal specialization	110
2.2. Prerequisites for the formation of competitive strategies for the development of organic production	121
2.3. Innovative mechanism for improving organization of management by marketing assortium on enterprise	127
2.4. Dependence of economic efficiency of vegetable enterprises activity on the influence of globalization processes	136
2.5. Development of competitive organic production in the agro business	142
2.6. Financial credit support of the Ukrainian agrarian market	148
2.7. Market position and formation of marketing activities of manufacturers	153
2.8. Innovative marketing technologies for conditions of organic production	159
2.9. Strategic management of enterprise marketing activities based on swot-analysis	166
2.10. Evaluation of economic performance of farms in Ukraine using the rise method	171
2.11. Assessment of the efficiency of strategic bank management: theoretical and methodical aspects	182

<b>Part 3. Innovative trends of modern organizations development</b>	189
3.1. Agrotourism as a tool of Kherson region agricultural units diversification	189
3.2. The role of information and innovative technologies in the modern economy	199
3.3. Improving the efficiency of training students in higher education institutions of agrarian profile in the specialty «tourism»	208
3.4. Information-axiological training of future agrarian specialists in the culturological aspect	213
3.5. The impact of public administration on the provision of socio-economic development of the region	219
3.6. Linguistic practices as antropotechnique in the context of informative modernity	224
3.7. Tourism as an innovational project of modern globalization	229
3.8. Role of the state in support of the promising area of the innovative-investment model for development of processing companies in Ukraine	234
3.9. The main aspects of innovation and investment activities of the enterprises of rural tourism	240
3.10. Management of activities of enterprises of the tourism industry on the basis of modern informational technologies	247
3.11. Generalization of scientific approaches to the definition of the principles of managerial accounting	253
<b>Annotation</b>	258
<b>About the authors</b>	267

### **3.5. Zolenko A. THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION ON THE PROVISION OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE REGION**

The article proposes methodological support for identifying the role and degree of influence of public administration in increasing the socio-economic development of the region. The methodical approach to determining the impact of the quality of public administration on ensuring the socio-economic development of the region is developed. The main stages of the implementation of the proposed approach are investigated: an integrated assessment of the level of socio-economic development of the region (includes the definition and selection of indicators for assessing the socio-economic development of the region, the justification of indicators of stimulants and indicators of destimulants, standardization of the elements of the matrix of observations, construction of a point of reference for the level of socio-economic development, the calculation of the integral indicator of the level of social and economic development and the construction of the regional ranking); definition of the quality of public administration (includes choosing the approach to solving the decision-making problem under conditions of uncertainty, defining and substantiating the criteria for assessing the quality of public administration, calculating the quality indicator of public administration); the detection of the interaction between the quality of public administration and the integral indicator of socio-economic development of the region (includes the choice of the method of constructing the equation and the justification of its type of dependence, verification of the obtained values of the coefficient of multiple correlation, determination and Fisher's criterion for adequacy and statistical significance, economic interpretation of the obtained results). The feature of the proposed methodological approach is the use of diverse analytical tools, namely: taxonomic analysis, the theory of fuzzy sets and the method of projecting of the trend. The use of this approach will help not only to determine the level of influence of the quality of the application of the relevant public administration tools in order to facilitate the adoption of future management decisions in the context of ensuring the effective socio-economic development of the region, but also to unambiguously assess the current situation in the region through a series of indicators of socio-economic development region to one.

### **3.6. Pylypenko S., Voronianskyi O., Moshynska O. LINGUISTIC PRACTICES AS ANTROPOTECHNIQUE IN THE CONTEXT OF INFORMATIVE MODERNITY**

The article deals with the issue of linguistic practices, which requires rethinking in the context of the information society. It is noted that information reality is investigated using the paradigms «communicative revolution», «communicative reality». It is noted that the formation of an information society is accompanied by the development of new forms of rationality, improvement and the emergence of new means and forms of communication. It is about the emergence of hypertext as a new linguistic practice. On the example of communication G. Skovoroda and M. Kovalinsky demonstrate linguistic practices that are changing in the conditions of information modernity.

### **3.7. Pylypenko S., Moiseeva N., Omelchenko H. TOURISM AS AN INNOVATIONAL PROJECT OF MODERN GLOBALIZATION**

The article considers the specificity of tourism as an innovative process of modern globalization. It is emphasized that due to socio-economic and cultural vectors of globalization there is a new level of interaction between actors. It is noted that in today's conditions the importance of the country's tourist services rating is gaining importance. The problem of expansion and deepening of tourist connections is analyzed. It is noted that tourist connections are a new socio-cultural practice of a modern person. It is emphasized that under the influence of processes of globalization the formation of a new person takes place. The last person appears not in the country but in the world.

## **Part 3. INNOVATIVE TRENDS OF MODERN ORGANIZATIONS DEVELOPMENT**

**3.1. Lyudmila Aleshchenko** – Lecturer, Kherson State Agrarian University, Kherson, Ukraine

**3.2. Vitalina Antoshchenkova** - PhD, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Roman Antoshchenkov** - Doctor of Technical Sciences, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Yuliia Kravchenko** - Senior Lecturer, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.3. Iryna Danchenko** - doctor of pedagogical sciences, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Natalya Bondar** - Candidate of Historical Sciences, Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.4. Natalia Grabar** - Candidate of Science in Social Communications, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Marina Mazorenko** - Candidate of Philosophy, Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.5. Alona Zolenko** – PhD, Associate Professor, Simon Kuznets Kharkov National University of Economics, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.6. Svitlana Pylypenko** - Candidate of Philosophy, Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Oleksandr Voronianskyi** - Candidate of Historical Sciences, Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Olena Moshynska** - Candidate of Philosophy, Associate Professor, National Law University named after Yaroslav Mudry, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.7. Svitlana Pylypenko** - Candidate of Philosophy, Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Natalia Moiseeva** - Candidate of Philosophy, Associate Professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Halyna Omelchenko** - Lecturer, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.8. Natalia Ryzhikova** - Doctor of Economic Sciences, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Natalia Birchenko** - PhD, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Serhii Rudenko** - PhD, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.9. Iryna Romaniuk** - PhD, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.10. Olena Smihunova** - PhD, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Olha Podolska** - PhD, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Kateryna Bogomolova** - PhD, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**3.11. Tetyana Marenych** - Doctor of Economic Sciences, professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**Lyudmila Polyvana** - PhD, associate professor, Kharkiv Petro Vasylenko National Technical University of Agriculture, Kharkiv, Ukraine.